

Streaky Baseball

By Jim Weigand

An interesting way of looking at a season is breaking that season into streaks – winning and losing. The 1906 Chicago Cubs season will serve as explanation of that process:

1906 Chicago Cubs

W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500	W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500
2	0	2	2	0	1.000	2	3	1	71	50	21	.704	29
1	1	4	3	1	.750	2	1	1	73	51	22	.699	29
1	2	7	4	3	.571	1	1	1	75	52	23	.693	29
2	1	10	6	4	.600	2	7	1	83	59	24	.711	35
10	2	22	16	6	.727	10	1	1	85	60	25	.706	35
2	1	25	18	7	.720	11	1	1	87	61	26	.701	35
1	1	27	19	8	.704	11	5	2	94	66	28	.702	38
5	1	33	24	9	.727	15	2	1	97	68	29	.701	39
1	1	35	25	10	.714	15	11	1	109	79	30	.725	49
3	3	41	28	13	.683	15	14	1	124	93	31	.750	62
6	2	49	34	15	.694	19	12	1	137	105	32	.766	73
2	1	52	36	16	.692	20	1	1	139	106	33	.763	73
3	1	56	39	17	.696	22	5	1	145	111	34	.766	77
2	1	59	41	18	.695	23	1	1	147	112	35	.762	77
4	1	64	45	19	.703	26	4	1	152	116	36	.763	80

The Cubs started the season with two victories (2-0). They lost the next game and won the following game (1-1). That gave them a total of 3 wins (**WT**) and 1 loss (**LT**) for a .750 winning percentage (**High**), leaving them 2 games over **.500** at that point of the season. They concluded the season winning their last 4 games, leaving them 80 games over .500. Each streak of wins and losses begins with a losing streak and ends with a winning streak, so that the winning percentage and the games over .500 represent the high watermark for that point in the season.

In certainly one of the greatest streaks ever the Cubs won 37 of 39 decisions later that season, compiling winning streaks of 11, 14 and 12 games sandwiched around 2 losses. Their 80 games over .500 are certainly the best ever. There are some who feel games over .500 should be treated like games behind a league leader and divided by two. I will not get into the arguments pro and con here. I did not want to deal with ½ games, because how does a team win or lose ½ a game? If the reader wishes to divide by two, he is welcome to do so. The Cubs of 1906 had winning streaks of 14, 12, 11 and 10, and losing streaks of 3 (once) and 2 (4 times). The Cubs also compiled 9 trivial streaks (1-1), which is remarkable for a team with such a great record.

2001 Seattle Mariners

W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500	W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500
1	0	1	1	0	1.000	1	2	1	88	64	24	.727	40
3	1	5	4	1	.800	3	2	1	91	66	25	.725	41
3	1	9	7	2	.778	5	2	1	94	68	26	.723	42
4	1	14	11	3	.786	8	4	1	99	72	27	.727	45
9	1	24	20	4	.833	16	4	2	105	76	29	.724	47
2	2	28	22	6	.786	16	4	1	110	80	30	.727	50
1	1	30	23	7	.767	16	3	1	114	83	31	.728	52
8	2	40	31	9	.775	22	4	2	120	87	33	.725	54
1	2	43	32	11	.744	21	4	2	126	91	35	.722	56
15	1	59	47	12	.797	35	3	1	130	94	36	.723	58
2	1	62	49	13	.790	36	2	2	134	96	38	.716	58
3	1	66	52	14	.788	38	3	1	138	99	39	.717	60
1	2	69	53	16	.768	37	7	1	146	106	40	.726	66
1	1	71	54	17	.761	37	4	4	154	110	44	.714	66
2	2	75	56	19	.747	37	6	1	161	116	45	.720	71
5	2	82	61	21	.744	40	0	1	162	116	46	.716	70
1	2	85	62	23	.729	39							

The 2001 Seattle Mariners were a model of consistency. Besides tying the 1906 Cubs' record victories and finishing 70 games over .500, trailing only the Cubs' 80 and the 1884 St. Louis Maroons' 75, they only once lost more than two games in a row (4).

1998 New York Yankees

W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500	W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500
1	3	4	1	3	.250	-2	1	2	91	68	23	.747	45
8	1	13	9	4	.692	5	4	2	97	72	25	.742	47
6	1	20	15	5	.750	10	2	1	100	74	26	.740	48
8	1	29	23	6	.793	17	3	1	104	77	27	.740	50
3	1	33	26	7	.788	19	3	1	108	80	28	.741	52
5	2	40	31	9	.775	22	9	1	118	89	29	.754	60
4	1	45	35	10	.778	25	3	1	122	92	30	.754	62
2	1	48	37	11	.771	26	2	2	126	94	32	.746	62
9	2	59	46	13	.780	33	4	4	134	98	36	.731	62
1	1	61	47	14	.770	33	1	1	136	99	37	.728	62
2	2	65	49	16	.754	33	1	1	138	100	38	.725	62
1	1	67	50	17	.746	33	3	3	144	103	41	.715	62
1	1	69	51	18	.739	33	1	3	148	104	44	.703	60
4	1	74	55	19	.743	36	2	2	152	106	46	.697	60
10	1	85	65	20	.765	45	1	1	154	107	47	.695	60
2	1	88	67	21	.761	46	7	1	162	114	48	.704	66

The 1998 Yankees parlayed winning streaks of 10, 9 (twice), and 8 (twice) to amass a .754 winning percentage – 62 games over .500 – by their 122nd decision. They finished 20-16 for the rest of the year. Otherwise, they may have challenged several records.

1954 Cleveland Indians

W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500	W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500
2	0	2	2	0	1.000	2	6	1	93	65	28	.699	37
1	3	6	3	3	.500	0	2	1	96	67	29	.698	38
6	3	15	9	6	.600	3	4	1	101	71	30	.703	41
3	1	19	12	7	.632	5	1	1	103	72	31	.699	41
1	1	21	13	8	.619	5	4	1	108	76	32	.704	44
11	2	34	24	10	.706	14	9	1	118	85	33	.720	52
3	2	39	27	12	.692	15	3	1	122	88	34	.721	54
2	1	42	29	13	.690	16	2	1	125	90	35	.720	55
3	2	47	32	15	.681	17	5	1	131	95	36	.725	59
1	1	49	33	16	.673	17	1	2	134	96	38	.716	58
9	1	59	42	17	.712	25	2	1	137	98	39	.715	59
2	1	62	44	18	.710	26	11	1	149	109	40	.732	69
1	1	64	45	19	.703	26	1	1	151	110	41	.728	69
8	3	75	53	22	.707	31	1	1	153	111	42	.725	69
3	1	79	56	23	.709	33	0	1	154	111	43	.721	68
3	4	86	59	27	.686	32							

The 1954 Indians had two 11-game and two 9-game winning streaks. Their two 4-game losing streaks ruined a stellar season, as one of those 4-game losing streaks occurred in the World Series against the Giants.

1909 Pittsburgh Pirates

W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500	W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500
1	0	1	1	0	1.000	1	3	2	83	60	23	.723	37
1	3	5	2	3	.400	-1	4	1	88	64	24	.727	40
3	2	10	5	5	.500	0	1	1	90	65	25	.722	40
7	1	18	12	6	.667	6	7	2	99	72	27	.727	45
1	1	20	13	7	.650	6	1	1	101	73	28	.723	45
1	1	22	14	8	.636	6	5	1	107	78	29	.729	49
3	1	26	17	9	.654	8	1	1	109	79	30	.725	49
4	2	32	21	11	.656	10	1	1	111	80	31	.721	49
14	1	47	35	12	.745	23	6	1	118	86	32	.729	54
6	1	54	41	13	.759	28	3	1	122	89	33	.730	56
3	1	58	44	14	.759	30	1	2	125	90	35	.720	55
1	2	61	45	16	.738	29	16	1	142	106	36	.746	70
4	2	67	49	18	.731	31	1	4	147	107	40	.728	67
3	1	71	52	19	.732	33	1	1	149	108	41	.725	67
3	1	75	55	20	.733	35	2	1	152	110	42	.724	68
2	1	78	57	21	.731	36							

The 1909 Pirates had a phenomenal season sandwiched between a 2-5 start and a 4-6 finish, of which they won their last two games. Winning streaks of 16 and 14 provided the two spurts they needed for a great season.

1927 New York Yankees

W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500	W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500
6	0	6	6	0	1.000	6	3	2	83	59	24	.711	35
1	2	9	7	2	.778	5	6	1	90	65	25	.722	40
1	1	11	8	3	.727	5	6	1	97	71	26	.732	45
1	1	13	9	4	.692	5	2	1	100	73	27	.730	46
3	1	17	12	5	.706	7	1	2	103	74	29	.718	45
2	2	21	14	7	.667	7	1	1	105	75	30	.714	45
7	1	29	21	8	.724	13	1	1	107	76	31	.710	45
1	2	32	22	10	.688	12	1	1	109	77	32	.706	45
2	2	36	24	12	.667	12	5	1	115	82	33	.713	49
1	1	38	25	13	.658	12	8	4	127	90	37	.709	53
5	1	44	30	14	.682	16	2	2	131	92	39	.702	53
4	1	49	34	15	.694	19	4	1	136	96	40	.706	56
1	1	51	35	16	.686	19	3	1	140	99	41	.707	58
9	1	61	44	17	.721	27	5	1	146	104	42	.712	62
7	3	71	51	20	.718	31	2	1	149	106	43	.711	63
3	1	75	54	21	.720	33	4	1	154	110	44	.714	66
2	1	78	56	22	.718	34							

The storied 1927 Yankees compiled 7 trivial streaks (1-1) and winning streaks of 9, 8 and 7 (twice) belying their impressive accomplishment.

1984 Detroit Tigers

W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500	W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500
9	0	9	9	0	1.000	9	6	1	97	68	29	.701	39
7	1	17	16	1	.941	15	1	1	99	69	30	.697	39
3	1	21	19	2	.905	17	2	2	103	71	32	.686	39
7	2	30	26	4	.867	22	1	2	106	72	34	.679	38
9	1	40	35	5	.875	30	1	4	111	73	38	.658	35
1	3	44	36	8	.818	28	1	2	114	74	40	.649	34
2	1	47	38	9	.809	29	3	1	118	77	41	.653	36
1	2	50	39	11	.780	28	4	2	124	81	43	.653	38
2	2	54	41	13	.759	28	3	1	128	84	44	.656	40
3	1	58	44	14	.759	30	3	1	132	87	45	.659	42
3	2	63	47	16	.746	31	1	4	137	88	49	.642	39
2	1	66	49	17	.742	32	4	2	143	92	51	.643	41
3	1	70	52	18	.743	34	1	1	145	93	52	.641	41
1	1	72	53	19	.736	34	5	2	152	98	54	.645	44
2	2	76	55	21	.724	34	4	1	157	102	55	.650	47
1	4	81	56	25	.691	31	2	2	161	104	57	.646	47
1	1	83	57	26	.687	31	0	1	162	104	58	.642	46
5	2	90	62	28	.689	34							

The 1984 Tigers are known for one of the greatest starts in baseball history. After a 9-0 start, they won 26 of their next 31 decisions for a 35-5 season launching.

1902 Pittsburgh Pirates

W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500	W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500
5	0	5	5	0	1.000	5	5	1	85	65	20	.765	45
10	2	17	15	2	.882	13	2	1	88	67	21	.761	46
4	1	22	19	3	.864	16	4	2	94	71	23	.755	48
6	1	29	25	4	.862	21	3	1	98	74	24	.755	50
5	1	35	30	5	.857	25	2	1	101	76	25	.752	51
3	2	40	33	7	.825	26	1	1	103	77	26	.748	51
1	2	43	34	9	.791	25	5	1	109	82	27	.752	55
1	1	45	35	10	.778	25	4	1	114	86	28	.754	58
2	1	48	37	11	.771	26	1	1	116	87	29	.750	58
8	1	57	45	12	.789	33	1	1	118	88	30	.746	58
3	2	62	48	14	.774	34	1	1	120	89	31	.742	58
7	1	70	55	15	.786	40	4	2	126	93	33	.738	60
3	1	74	58	16	.784	42	8	1	135	101	34	.748	67
1	2	77	59	18	.766	41	2	2	139	103	36	.741	67
1	1	79	60	19	.759	41							

The 1902 Pirates lost no more than two consecutive games all season, an unparalleled 20th century feat.

1875 Boston Red Stockings

W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500	W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500
26	0	26	26	0	1.000	26	13	1	54	50	4	.926	46
1	1	28	27	1	.964	26	5	2	61	55	6	.902	49
8	1	37	35	2	.946	33	15	1	77	70	7	.909	63
2	1	40	37	3	.925	34	1	1	79	71	8	.899	63

The 1875 Red Stockings had the greatest starts in baseball history, winning their first 26 games.

1884 St. Louis Maroons

W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500	W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500
20	0	20	20	0	1.000	20	8	1	77	67	10	.870	57
4	1	25	24	1	.960	23	16	1	94	83	11	.883	72
2	1	28	26	2	.929	24	5	4	103	88	15	.854	73
5	1	34	31	3	.912	28	1	1	105	89	16	.848	73
9	1	44	40	4	.909	36	4	1	110	93	17	.845	76
4	2	50	44	6	.880	38	1	1	112	94	18	.839	76
3	2	55	47	8	.855	39	0	1	113	94	19	.832	75
12	1	68	59	9	.868	50							

The 1884 Maroons won their first 20 games.

1884 Providence Grays

W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500	W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500
5	1	6	5	1	.833	4	1	1	54	39	15	.722	24
12	1	19	17	2	.895	15	1	1	56	40	16	.714	24
4	2	25	21	4	.840	17	3	2	61	43	18	.705	25
1	1	27	22	5	.815	17	6	1	68	49	19	.721	30
1	1	29	23	6	.793	17	20	1	89	69	20	.775	49
10	4	43	33	10	.767	23	8	1	98	77	21	.786	56
1	1	45	34	11	.756	23	2	2	102	79	23	.775	56
1	1	47	35	12	.745	23	1	3	106	80	26	.755	54
1	1	49	36	13	.735	23	1	1	108	81	27	.750	54
2	1	52	38	14	.731	24	3	1	112	84	28	.750	56

The 1884 Grays behind the tireless pitching arm of Hoss Radbourn, ran a streak of 34-2, rivaling the 1906 Cubs.

1916 New York Giants

W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500	W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500
1	1	2	1	1	.500	0	1	3	77	38	39	.494	-1
1	8	11	2	9	.182	-7	1	1	79	39	40	.494	-1
17	4	32	19	13	.594	6	6	3	88	45	43	.511	2
2	1	35	21	14	.600	7	2	1	91	47	44	.516	3
1	2	38	22	16	.579	6	2	1	94	49	45	.521	4
1	2	41	23	18	.561	5	3	1	98	52	46	.531	6
2	3	46	25	21	.543	4	1	5	104	53	51	.510	2
1	3	50	26	24	.520	2	1	6	111	54	57	.486	-3
1	2	53	27	26	.509	1	2	1	114	56	58	.491	-2
2	1	56	29	27	.518	2	1	1	116	57	59	.491	-2
1	2	59	30	29	.508	1	1	1	118	58	60	.492	-2
1	4	64	31	33	.484	-2	1	1	120	59	61	.492	-2
1	1	66	32	34	.485	-2	26	1	147	85	62	.578	23
3	1	70	35	35	.500	0	1	3	151	86	65	.570	21
2	1	73	37	36	.507	1	0	1	152	86	66	.566	20

The 1916 Giants remarkably compiled half their total victories in two winning streaks, one of 26 games and one of 17 games.

1872 Boston Red Stockings

W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500	W	L	Dec	WT	LT	High	.500
3	0	3	3	0	1.000	3	1	1	37	33	4	.892	29
19	1	23	22	1	.957	21	4	2	43	37	6	.860	31
10	2	35	32	3	.914	29	2	2	47	39	8	.830	31

The Red Stockings started the season winning 22 of their first 23 games and 32 of their first 35.

1899 Cleveland Spiders

W	L	Dec	WT	LT	Low	.500	W	L	Dec	WT	LT	Low	.500
0	4	4	0	4	.000	-4	1	1	59	11	48	.186	-37
1	3	8	1	7	.125	-6	1	14	74	12	62	.162	-50
1	2	11	2	9	.182	-7	1	1	76	13	63	.171	-50
1	11	23	3	20	.130	-17	1	4	81	14	67	.173	-53
2	1	26	5	21	.192	-16	1	8	90	15	75	.167	-60
1	1	28	6	22	.214	-16	1	4	95	16	79	.168	-63
1	3	32	7	25	.219	-18	1	11	107	17	90	.159	-73
1	13	46	8	38	.174	-30	1	4	112	18	94	.161	-76
1	7	54	9	45	.167	-36	1	24	137	19	118	.139	-99
1	2	57	10	47	.175	-37	1	16	154	20	134	.130	-114

For teams under .500 we may want to look at lowest points. **Low** indicates the lowest percentage at that point in the season. The streaks are compiled differently, starting with winning streaks and ending with losing streaks. The hapless 1899 Spiders started off losing their first 4 games. After winning one, they lost 3 more. In their first 100 decisions the Spiders won only 17 games, the least of any team with more than 100 decisions. They proceeded to outdo themselves by winning 3 of the final 54 games, the worst of any team with more than 112 decisions. They closed the season by losing 55 of their last 58 games.

1962 New York Mets

W	L	Dec	WT	LT	Low	.500	W	L	Dec	WT	LT	Low	.500
0	9	9	0	9	.000	-9	2	5	85	23	62	.271	-39
1	3	13	1	12	.077	-11	1	11	97	24	73	.247	-49
2	4	19	3	16	.158	-13	1	1	99	25	74	.253	-49
2	1	22	5	17	.227	-12	1	5	105	26	79	.248	-53
2	1	25	7	18	.280	-11	3	3	111	29	82	.261	-53
2	1	28	9	19	.321	-10	1	13	125	30	95	.240	-65
3	17	48	12	36	.250	-24	2	1	128	32	96	.250	-64
1	1	50	13	37	.260	-24	1	2	131	33	98	.252	-65
2	1	53	15	38	.283	-23	1	5	137	34	103	.248	-69
1	7	61	16	45	.262	-29	1	7	145	35	110	.241	-75
1	2	64	17	47	.266	-30	1	1	147	36	111	.245	-75
1	1	66	18	48	.273	-30	1	4	152	37	115	.243	-78
1	4	71	19	52	.268	-33	1	1	154	38	116	.247	-78
1	2	74	20	54	.270	-34	1	3	158	39	119	.247	-80
1	3	78	21	57	.269	-36	1	1	160	40	120	.250	-80

The 1962 Mets were the worst team of the 20th century. They twice won 3 consecutive games and compiled losing streaks of 17, 13 and 11.

Teams with 100 victories

Ws = longest winning streak **Ls** = longest losing streak

W100 = number of wins in 1st 100 decisions

W+ L+ Pct+ = wins, losses and percentage after 100th decision

Year	Team	Dec	W	L	Pct.	Ws	Ls	W100	W+	L+	Pct+
1906	Chi-N	152	116	36	.763	14	3	70	46	6	.885
2001	Sea-A	162	116	46	.716	15	4	72	44	18	.710
1998	NY-A	162	114	48	.704	10	4	74	40	22	.645
1954	Cle-A	154	111	43	.721	11	4	70	41	13	.759
1909	Pit-N	152	110	42	.724	16	4	72	38	14	.731
1927	NY-A	154	110	44	.714	9	4	73	37	17	.685
1969	Bal-A	162	109	53	.673	8	5	69	40	22	.645
1961	NY-A	162	109	53	.673	13	4	65	44	18	.710
1970	Bal-A	162	108	54	.667	11	3	62	46	16	.742
1975	Cin-N	162	108	54	.667	10	6	65	43	19	.694
1986	NY-N	162	108	54	.667	11	4	68	40	22	.645
1907	Chi-N	152	107	45	.704	7	4	73	34	18	.654
1931	Phi-A	152	107	45	.704	17	4	74	33	19	.635
1932	NY-A	154	107	47	.695	10	3	67	40	14	.741
1939	NY-A	151	106	45	.702	12	6	69	37	14	.725
1904	NY-N	153	106	47	.693	18	6	71	35	18	.660
1942	SL-N	154	106	48	.688	8	4	62	44	10	.815
1998	Atl-N	162	106	56	.654	9	4	65	41	21	.661
1912	Bos-A	152	105	47	.691	10	5	68	37	15	.712
1905	NY-N	153	105	48	.686	13	4	70	35	18	.660
1953	Bro-N	154	105	49	.682	13	4	66	39	15	.722
1943	SL-N	154	105	49	.682	12	4	67	38	16	.704
1944	SL-N	154	105	49	.682	9	5	73	32	22	.593
2004	SL-N	162	105	57	.648	9	4	64	41	21	.661
1929	Phi-A	150	104	46	.693	11	4	74	30	20	.600
1909	Chi-N	153	104	49	.680	10	5	67	37	16	.698
1946	Bos-A	154	104	50	.675	15	6	70	34	20	.630
1942	Bro-N	154	104	50	.675	8	5	71	33	21	.611
1910	Chi-N	154	104	50	.675	11	5	67	37	17	.685
1963	NY-A	161	104	57	.646	7	4	64	40	21	.656
1993	Atl-N	162	104	58	.642	9	5	58	46	16	.742
1984	Det-A	162	104	58	.642	9	4	69	35	27	.565
1988	Oak-A	162	104	58	.642	14	5	61	43	19	.694
1902	Pit-N	139	103	36	.741	10	2	75	28	11	.718
1912	NY-N	151	103	48	.682	16	4	72	31	20	.608
1942	NY-A	154	103	51	.669	11	5	68	35	19	.648
1954	NY-A	154	103	51	.669	13	3	67	36	18	.667
2002	NY-A	161	103	58	.640	7	4	63	40	21	.656
1999	Atl-N	162	103	59	.636	10	5	59	44	18	.710
1968	Det-A	162	103	59	.636	11	4	63	40	22	.645
1980	NY-A	162	103	59	.636	9	3	63	40	22	.645
2009	NY-A	162	103	59	.636	9	5	61	42	20	.677

Year	Team	Dec	W	L	Pct.	Ws	Ls	W100	W+	L+	Pct+
1990	Oak-A	162	103	59	.636	7	4	63	40	22	.645
2002	Oak-A	162	103	59	.636	20	4	59	44	18	.710
1993	SF-N	162	103	59	.636	7	8	67	36	26	.581
1962	SF-N	165	103	62	.624	10	6	64	39	26	.600
1898	Bos-N	149	102	47	.685	11	5	65	37	12	.755
1892	Bos-N	150	102	48	.680	8	4	67	35	15	.700
1910	Phi-A	150	102	48	.680	13	4	68	34	16	.680
1936	NY-A	153	102	51	.667	7	3	66	36	17	.679
1937	NY-A	154	102	52	.662	9	4	69	33	21	.611
1930	Phi-A	154	102	52	.662	10	5	67	35	19	.648
1979	Bal-A	159	102	57	.642	9	6	67	35	24	.593
1970	Cin-N	162	102	60	.630	8	4	70	32	30	.516
1976	Cin-N	162	102	60	.630	7	4	62	40	22	.645
1998	Hou-N	162	102	60	.630	8	4	59	43	19	.694
1977	KC-A	162	102	60	.630	16	4	56	46	16	.742
1974	LA-N	162	102	60	.630	9	6	65	37	25	.597
1965	Min-A	162	102	60	.630	9	4	63	39	23	.629
2001	Oak-A	162	102	60	.630	11	7	54	48	14	.774
1962	LA-N	165	102	63	.618	13	5	66	36	29	.554
1899	Bro-N	148	101	47	.682	12	8	66	35	13	.729
1915	Bos-A	151	101	50	.669	8	5	65	36	15	.706
1911	Phi-A	151	101	50	.669	10	6	65	36	15	.706
1913	NY-N	152	101	51	.664	14	5	69	32	20	.615
1934	Det-A	154	101	53	.656	14	4	63	38	16	.704
1928	NY-A	154	101	53	.656	8	3	69	32	22	.593
1941	NY-A	154	101	53	.656	14	5	69	32	22	.593
1931	SL-N	154	101	53	.656	8	4	63	38	16	.704
1971	Bal-A	158	101	57	.639	11	4	62	39	19	.672
2002	Atl-N	160	101	59	.631	7	4	64	37	23	.617
1971	Oak-A	161	101	60	.627	7	4	63	38	23	.623
1967	SL-N	161	101	60	.627	8	4	60	41	20	.672
1997	Atl-N	162	101	61	.623	7	4	64	37	25	.597
2003	Atl-N	162	101	61	.623	8	3	66	35	27	.629
1961	Det-A	162	101	61	.623	8	8	64	37	25	.597
2003	NY-A	162	101	61	.623	8	5	62	39	23	.565
2004	NY-A	162	101	61	.623	8	4	63	38	24	.613
1976	Phi-N	162	101	61	.623	6	8	68	33	29	.532
1977	Phi-N	162	101	61	.623	13	5	57	44	18	.710
1985	SL-N	162	101	61	.623	7	4	61	40	22	.645
1995	Cle-A	144	100	44	.694	9	4	67	33	11	.750
1940	Cin-N	153	100	53	.654	11	3	66	34	19	.642
1941	Bro-N	154	100	54	.649	9	6	64	36	18	.667
1917	Chi-A	154	100	54	.649	9	4	62	38	16	.685
1935	Chi-N	154	100	54	.649	21	4	63	37	17	.704
1915	Det-A	154	100	54	.649	9	4	62	38	16	.704
1988	NY-N	160	100	60	.625	8	5	60	40	20	.667
2003	SF-N	161	100	61	.621	9	6	63	37	24	.607

Year Team	Dec	W	L	Pct.	Ws	Ls	W100	W+	L+	Pct+
1999 Ari-N	162	100	62	.617	7	4	54	46	16	.742
1980 Bal-A	162	100	62	.617	10	6	56	44	18	.710
2008 Ana-A	162	100	62	.617	7	4	61	39	23	.629
1977 NY-A	162	100	62	.617	8	5	55	45	17	.726
1969 NY-N	162	100	62	.617	11	5	56	44	18	.710
2005 SL-N	162	100	62	.617	6	3	63	37	25	.597
1978 NY-A	163	100	63	.613	7	4	55	45	18	.714

.700 percentage less than 100 victories

Year Team	Dec	W	L	Pct.	Ws	Ls	W100	W+	L+	Pct+
1875 Bos-n	79	71	8	.899	26	2				
1884 SL-u	113	94	19	.832	20	4	85	9	4	.692
1872 Bos-n	47	39	8	.830	19	2				
1880 Chi-N	84	67	17	.798	21	3				
1876 Chi-N	66	52	14	.788	11	3				
1885 Chi-N	112	87	25	.777	18	3	80	7	5	.583
1885 NY-N	112	85	27	.759	11	3	77	8	4	.667
1884 Pro-N	112	84	28	.750	20	4	77	7	5	.583
1871 Phi-n	28	21	7	.750	6	2				
1874 Bos-n	70	52	18	.743	13	3				
1873 Bos-n	59	43	16	.729	11	3				
1886 Chi-N	124	90	34	.726	14	5	75	15	9	.625
1875 Phi-n	73	53	20	.726	9	4				
1886 Det-N	123	87	36	.707	15	4	72	15	8	.652
1885 SL-a	112	79	33	.705	17	4	71	8	4	.667
1897 Bos-N	132	93	39	.705	17	5	69	24	8	.750
1887 SL-a	135	95	40	.704	15	4	74	21	14	.600
1876 SL-N	64	45	19	.703	7	3				
1879 Pro-N	84	59	25	.702	10	3				
1884 NY-a	107	75	32	.701	11	3	70	5	2	.714
1877 Bos-N	60	42	18	.700	12	4				

Miscellaneous

Year Team	Dec	W	L	Pct.	Ws	Ls	W100	W+	L+	Pct+
1916 NY-N	152	86	66	.566	26	8	52	34	18	.654
1893 Bos-N	129	86	43	.667	9	3	70	16	13	.552
1899 Cle-N	154	20	134	.130	2	24	17	3	51	.056
1890 Pit-N	136	23	113	.169	3	23	19	4	32	.111
1889 Lou-a	138	27	111	.196	3	26	20	7	31	.184
1962 NY-N	160	40	120	.250	3	17	26	14	46	.233