## Who's Right, <br> La Russa or Gant?

Mark Pankin
SABR 29
J une 27, 1999
Scottsdale, AZ

## Introduction

Cards pitchers batted 8th after All-Star G.
\| La Russa: men on for McGwire, fewer walks
\| Gant: good for McGwire, bad for team
Should McGwire bat 4th(as Gant implied)?
Analytical Tools
II Situational statistics and probabilities
| Markov model

## 1) PITCHER BATTED 8 EVEN WHEN MAC DID NOT START

2) GANT AFTER GOING TO PHILLIES IN NOV: CARDS WERE "LAUGHINGSTOCK" OF NL

## McGwire Situational Stats

- Before and after AllStar Game
Runners:
\| bases empty
|| 1st occupied
|| runners on, 1st open

|  | McGwire Plate Apps. In 1998 |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Before | After |  |
| Empty | ASG | ASG | Total |
|  | 187 | 181 | 368 |
| 1st occ. | 111 | 91 | 202 |
| Topen | 64 | 47 | 111 |
| Total | 362 | 319 | 681 |

1) SITUATIONAL STATS CAN MISLEAD DUE TO SMALL NUMBERS:
A) 187 PA AT 4+/G --> 45 GAMES, < 2 MONTHS
B) DON'T TAKE BA SERIOUSLY AT END OF MAY
2) NOT SPLITTING BY NUMBER OUTS DUE TO EVEN SMALLER NUMBERS, DIFFERENCES NOT GREAT AS A RULE
3) EMPTY ABOUT SAME, BUT MANY FEWER MEN ON SITS AFTER (P BAT 8) -- DISCUSS MORE LATER
4) SEVERAL GRAPHS FOLLOW WITH SOME OF MAC'S SITUATIONAL PERFORMANCE

## Walks per Plate Appearance



1) GRAPHS WILL BE SIMILAR:
A) THREE GROUPINGS BY RUNNERS
B) THREE BARS--BEFORE ASG, AFTER, TOTAL SEASON FOR SITUATION--IN EACH GROUP
C) HORIZONTAL LINE IS SEASON AVERAGE FOR ALL SITUATIONS
2) MORE WITH 1ST OPEN (NO SURPRISE)
3) BASES EMPTY vs. 1ST OCC. FOR BEFORE MAY BE WHAT LA RUSSA WAS THINKING ABOUT (BUT REVERSED AFTER ASG)

## On-Base Percentage



1) MORE BB WITH 1ST OPEN PUSHED OBP HIGHER
2) BASES EMPTY: FEWER BB AFTER, HIGHBER BA MEANS ALMOST SAME OBP
3) DIFFERENCE BETWEEN EMPTY AND 1ST OCC. PROBABLY "NOISE" (RANDOM EFFECT)

## Slugging Average



1) EMPTY vs. 1ST OCC BEFORE MAY HAVE INFLUENCED LA RUSSA (ALSO REVERSED AFTER)
2) HIGHER SLG WITH 1ST OPEN (BB NOT IN SLG) MAY CAUSE PITCHERS TO BE EXTRA CAREFUL, SO MAC HIT MAINLY "MISTAKES" (OR CONCENTRATED BETTER) IN THESE CASES

## Plate Appearances per HR



1) PA RATHER THAN AB (MORE COMMON) BECAUSE OF SO MANY WALKS
2) BEFORE EMPTY vs. OTHERS ( 15 vs . ABOUT 7) MAY HAVE INFLUENCED LA RUSSA
3) BUT AFTER, EMPTY HAS BEST PA/HR (MAC ADJUSTED, PITCHERS TOLD NOT TO PITCH AROUND HIM WITH NO ONE ON (BY FANS!), OR RANDOM DUE TO SMALL NUMBERS)

## Why Model is Needed

. 72 Mac starts each with $P$ batting \#8, \#9

- More runners on when $P$ batted \#9
- Many lineups used
- Hitters ahead of Mac did better when P \#9

| OBP of hitters before McGwire   <br> (games when he started, no DH)   <br>  Pitcher bats  <br> \#1 batter 0.312  <br> \#2 batter 0.386  <br> Combined 0.349  |
| :--- |

Model can produce valid comparisons

FOLLOW-UP ON NUMBER PA WITH RUNNERS ON

1) LA RUSSA USED MORE LINEUPS THAN ANY OTHER MGR IN 1998 (INFLUENCED BY P BAT 8, BUT FREQUENT CHANGES COMMON)
2) MAC ALWAYS BATTED \#3 WHEN HE STARTED
(152 GAMES -- 8 IN AL PARKS), CARDS PLAYED 163
(6.5 INN TIE, MAC DID NOT PLAY)
3) COMPARISONS BETWEEN THE PAIRS OF 72

GAMES (BB WEEKLY LA RUSSA ARTICLE; COST
MAC SHOT AT RBI TITLE) NOT VALID DUE TO
DIFFERENT PLAYERS AND PERFORMANCES
4) MODEL HOLDS PERFORMANCE OF PLAYERS

CONSTANT, SO VALID COMPARISONS ARE
POSSIBLE
[WHY SUCH POOR LEAD-OFF HITTERS???]

## Markov Process Model

Calculates long-term average number of runs per 9 inn. that a lineup will produce Internal calculations yield probabilities of runners and out situations
Major assumption: batters perform the same in all batting order positions, essentially the same in all runners/outs situations

JUST SO HAPPENS I HAVE A SUITABLE MODEL...

1) MODEL IS BASED ON PROBABILITIES OF GOING FROM ONE RUNNERS/OUTS TO ANOTHER BASED ON INDIVIDUAL BATTER DATA
2) USES MATRIX ALGEBRA
3) OVERALL MORE BB WITH 1st OPEN (NOT LIKE MAC) AND FEWER WITH BASES FULL, SO MODEL ADJUSTS (BUT MAC WALKED 6/14 LOADED PA!)
4) OTHER ASSUMPTIONS:
A) RUNNING EVENTS EXCEPT FOR SB TRY ARE ACCORDING TO LEAGUE AVERAGES
B) ONLY PITCHERS TRY SAC BUNTS
5) ASSUMPTIONS OK SINCE BATTING ORDER COMPARISONS ARE MAIN INTEREST

## Lineups Used in Model

| Player | 1998 Full Season |  | vs. RHP OBP | vs. LHP SLG | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| DeShields | 0.374 | 0.429 | 0.053 | 0.056 |  |
| Jordan | 0.370 | 0.534 | -0.044 | -0.103 | Bats 4th vs. LHP |
| McGwire | 0.473 | 0.752 | 0.012 | 0.163 |  |
| Lankford | 0.394 | 0.540 | 0.025 | 0.113 | Bats 2nd vs. LHP |
| Gant | 0.333 | 0.493 | -0.087 | -0.107 |  |
| Tatis | 0.329 | 0.415 | -0.009 | 0.086 |  |
| Marrero (M) | 0.319 | 0.370 | -0.058 | -0.118 | Bats 9th when P is \#8 |
| Ordaz (O) | 0.261 | 0.235 | 0.103 | 0.123 | Bats 7th when P is \#8 |
| Pitcher (P) | 0.174 | 0.176 | <-- NL av | age (Ca | ds in 1998 were similar) |

$M, O, P=$ lineup above ( $P$ bats 9 th ); $O, P, M=$ lineup with $P$ batting 8th

# 1) MOST FREQUENT STARTERS AFTER ASG (TATIS DATA IS FULL SEASON) 

2) POSITIVE MEANS BATTER DID BETTER vs. RHP
3) M,O,P / O,P,M / M,P,O WILL BE USED IN FOLLOWING

## 4) ANALYSIS WILL BE BASED ON FULL SEASON, BUT COMPARISONS BASED ON PITCHER HANDEDNESS ARE SIMILAR

## Mac's 3rd \& 4th Inning Probs.

|  | M, O, P |  | O, P, M |  | M, P, O |  | M,O,P $\mathrm{O}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{M}$ $\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{P}, \mathrm{O}$ <br> Combined 3rd \& 4th <br> (Mac's second $P A$ )   |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Third Inning Probabilities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | if Mac |  | if Mac |  | if Mac |  |  |  |
|  | All cases | bats | All cases | bats | All cases | bats |  |  |  |
| Mac not up | 30.7\% |  | 30.7\% |  | 30.5\% |  |  |  |  |
| Empty | 31.7\% | 45.7\% | 30.4\% | 43.8\% | 30.8\% | 44.3\% | 55.6\% | 54.5\% | 54.8\% |
| $1 \mathrm{st} \mathrm{occ}$. | 26.9\% | 38.8\% | 27.3\% | 39.4\% | 27.4\% | 39.4\% | 31.9\% | 32.2\% | 32.4\% |
| 1 st open | 10.8\% | 15.6\% | 11.6\% | 16.8\% | 11.3\% | 16.3\% | 12.5\% | 13.3\% | 13.0\% |


|  | Fourth Inning Probabilities |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All cases | if Mac bats | All cases | if Mac bats | All cases | if Mac bats |
| Mac bats | 30.7\% |  | 30.7\% |  | 30.5\% |  |
| Empty | 23.9\% | 78.0\% | 24.1\% | 78.6\% | 24.0\% | 78.1\% |
| 1st occ. | 5.0\% | 16.3\% | 4.9\% | 16.0\% | 5.0\% | 16.3\% |
| 1 st open | 1.7\% | 5.7\% | 1.7\% | 5.4\% | 1.7\% | 5.6\% |

Notes: 1) Assumes McGwire does not bat in 2nd
2) Above are full season, but results are similar using data vs. RHP and vs. LHP

1) COMPLEX TABLE -- SMALL SUMMARY TABLE AT RIGHT IS KEY RESULT
2) USES FULL SEASON DATA; COMPARISONS (NOT VALUES) USING PITCHER HAND SPLITS SIMILAR
3) ASSUMES MAC DOES NOT BAT IN 2nd (SMALL PROB. HE DOES), SO WE ARE LOOKING AT EFFECT OF P BAT POSITION ON HIS 2nd PA
4) ABOUT $1 \%$ LESS CHANCE OF BASES EMPTY WHEN P BATS 8 --> 1 MORE 2nd PA IN SECOND HALF WITH MEN ON EXPECTED
5) BASES EMPTY MORE LIKELY IF MAC BATS IN 4th THAN IN 3rd

## If Mac bats in 5th or 6th




1) CONDITIONAL PROBABILITIES ASSUMING HE BATS IN INNING SHOWN; TOO COMPLICATED TO CALC PROB HE BATS AND WHICH PA (3 OR 4)
2) GROUPINGS AS BEFORE, BARS FOR 7-9 ORDER (M,O,P; O,P,M; M,P,O)
3) SIMILAR SMALL EFFECTS TO 3rd \& 4th
4) TOO COMPLEX (PINCH HITTERS) TO GO BEYOND THIS POINT
5) ALL TOLD, BATTING PITCHER 8 IS EXPECTED TO RESULT IN ABOUT 2 MORE PAs WITH MEN ON AFTER ASG

## Modeled Runs per 162 Games

- Full season data
- Similar results by pitcher hand
- First four are near "optimal"
- Differences among first four are minor

|  | Normal | Pitcher bats 8th | "Gant" | Worst? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DeShields | DeShields DeShields | DeShields | Tatis |
|  | Jordan | Jordan Jordan | Lankord | Ordaz |
|  | McGwire | McGwire McGwire | Gant | Marrero |
|  | Lankford | Lankford Lankford | McGwire | Pitcher |
|  | Gant | Gant Gant | Jordan | Gant |
|  | Tatis | Tatis Tatis | Tatis | DeShields |
|  | Marrero | Ordaz Marrero | Marrero | Jordan |
|  | Ordaz | Pitcher Pitcher | Ordaz | Lankford |
| 9 | Pitcher | Marrero Ordaz | Pitcher | McGuire |
| Runs | 865.2 | 864.9867 .6 | 865.4 | 815.5 |

1) BEST FOUND <869 (MAC \#1, SO MIGHT NEED TO ADJUST EQUAL PERFERMANCE ASSUMPTION, WHICH COULD CHANGE RESULTS)
2) "GANT" LINEUP OPTIMIZED BASED ON DeSHIELDS 1st AND McGWIRE 4th
3) MODELS NOT DESIGNED TO FIND LOW

SCORING ORDERS. TRIED REVERSING AND
"OPTIMIZING", BUT MIGHT BE WORSE ONES
4) 10 RUNS APPROX. EQUAL 1 WIN (TO PROVIDE PERSPECTIVE)
5) SIMILAR RELATIONSHIPS (DIFFERENT RUN VALUES) USING PITCHING HAND SPLITS

## Conclusion

Who's right?: Possibly La Russa
No meaningful effect on modeled runs when pitcher bats 8th
Slightly increased chance of men on for Mac
\| McGwire did hit 70, but probably would have hit about the same with normal batting order For more on Markov model visit my web site at http://www.pankin.com

1) ACCORDING TO MODEL, BATTING P 8 DID NOT HURT AND MIGHT HAVE PRODUCED A COUPLE MORE MAC PAs WITH MEN ON, BUT DIFFERENCES ARE SMALL, WITHIN ERROR BOUNDS OF MODEL
2) IN EFFECT, NO DIFFERENCE BETWEEN PITCHER 8th AND 9th
3) BATTING MAC 4th WOULD NOT INCREASE SCORING AND WOULD REDUCE HIS PAs BY ABOUT 18 PER SEASON, COST 2 HR BASED ON HIS 1998 PA/HR --> GANT NOT RIGHT
4) HOPE TO PUT THIS PRESENTATION ON SITE IN NOT TOO DISTANT FUTURE
